ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಆ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾವು ಹೇಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದೂ ನರಿಯಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ನಾಗರತ್ನಮ್ಮ ಹರೇಮಠ.—ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸುಶೀಲಾಬಾಯಿ ಷಾರವರು ಈಗ ತಾನೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಿದುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಅಂತಹ ಪ್ರಚಾರ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ, ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸುಶೀಲಾಬಾಯಿಯವರನ್ನೇ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಚಾರ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಅದೂ ಒಂದು ಸೂಚನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question hour is over.

Calling Attention to a matter of urgent Public Importance under rule 63.

Sri C. R. RANGE GOWDA (Magadi).—Sir, I call the attention of the Minister for Home to the assault of a school girl by some goonda elements of Kudur Village, Magadi Taluk.

† Sri B. D. JATTI (Minister for Finance).—It is reported that on 19-3-1965 at about 11 A.M. when a girl aged 17 years was going to her school, she was wrongfully restrained by four persons and dragged to their house and assaulted with chappal and shoes, as a result of which the girl sustained injuries. The incident is reported to have taken place in the main Bazaar Street of Kudur and witnessed by many persons including the father of the girl.

The girl immediately lodged a complaint at Kudur Police Station about this incident and a case in Cr. No. 19/65 under section 341-323 I. P. C. of Kudur Police Station was taken on file and investigated. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Channapatna, visited Kudur, made enquiries and gave instructions to put down rowdism. The investigation of the case disclosed offences under sections 354-355-342 read with 34 I. P. C. against the accused and as such the accused were arrested and interrogated, but they denied the incident. As per wound certificate, the injuries sustained by the complainant could be caused by blunt substance and they are simple in nature.

After completion of the investigation, a chargesheet was submitted to the Court of the Special First Class Magistrate, Ramanagaram, against all the accused on 15-4-1965.

Necessary protection has been given to the complainant and her relatives.

The local police has taken prompt action in this behalf and subsequently no incident has been reported.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ (ಹೆಬ್ಬೂರು).—ಗೂಂಡಾಗಿರಿ ಮಾಡಿದವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಇನ್‌ವೆಸ್ಟಿಗೇಷನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಪೋಲೀಸಿನವರು ವಿಳಂಬ ಮಾಡಿದುದರಿಂದಾಗಿ ಡಿ. ಐ. ಜಿ. ಯವರು ಇಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಆ ಪೋಲೀಸು ಸ್ಟೇಷನ್ನಿಗೆ ಬರೆದಿರುವುದು ಸರಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The matter is sub judice. Can't the member understand that by any comment by the Government or anybody the investigation gets a certain percentage of purity, or impurity? I do not want anything to be done like that. Suppose the delay is admitted, investigation gets filtered. It is sub judice. I am not allowing any discussion.

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾದಯ್ಯ ಗೌಡ (ರಾಮನಗರ).—ಸ್ವಾಮೀ ಈ ಊರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಶಾಂತಿ ಭಂಗ ಮಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲದಂತೆ ಪೋಲೀಸಿನವರು ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಪ್ರೊಟೆಕ್ಷನ್ನನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಈ ಊರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಿಂದಾಗ್ಗೆ ಜಾಸ್ತಿಯಾಗಿ ಒಬ್ಬರಿಗೊಬ್ಬರಿಗೆ ಪ್ಯಾಜ್ಯವಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ, ಇದನ್ನು ನಿವಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲು ತಕ್ಕ ಪೋಲೀಸು ಬಂದೋಬಸ್ತು ಇದೆಯೇ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I made it clear that protection is given to the girl and relatives and all other persons who are likely to be again disturbed.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ.—ಗೂಂಡಾಗಳು ಎಂದು ಯಾರು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದರೋ ಅವರುಗಳಿಂದಲೇ ಪದೇ ಪದೇ ಈ ಊರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅನೇಕ ಪ್ಯಾಜ್ಯಗಳಾಗಿ ಅವುಗಳ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ನರಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

(ಉತ್ತರವಿಲ್ಲ).

Mr. SPEAKER.—That directly affects the accused!

Sri G. V. GOWDA (Palya).—It cannot be allowed, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble member must advise Sri K. Lakkappa off and on.

THIRTY-THIRD REPORT OF THE BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

Sri A. R. PANCHAGAVI (Deputy Speaker).—Sir, I beg to present the Thirty-third Report of the Business Advisory Committee.

Mr. SPEAKER.—In view of what transpired yesterday, I called an urgent meeting of the Business Advisory Committee and the Report is circulated. I suppose the House agrees with the Report. The necessity for an urgent meeting arose like this. Even in the Circular letter and the Report that was previously presented, it was mentioned that in order to facilitate the discussion on the Resolution relating to the Revenue Settlement, perhaps in response to the criticism on the Floor of the House and other matters which have been represented in various ways to the Government, that certain amendment was deemed necessary. That was mentioned more than once a few days back, not even yesterday. It was necessary not by mere mentioning, but by bringing an amending Bill. The amending Bill was published, it was introduced yesterday; it was almost with the consent that it was to be discussed. There is one further point to be borne in mind. Unless that Bill is taken, discussed and passed by this House, it cannot go to the other House. The Bill has to go to the other House for its discussion and when it gets concurrence, it has to go to higher authorities or whomsoever for assent. It has to undergo an effective discussion. Final conclusion